Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/13/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 9:

Pam Adams, Jack Baird, Torico Griffin, Jack Sandhofer

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **95** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **94**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/13/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 16 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 5 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 9 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 10 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 95 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 25 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 14 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 10 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 94 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document is looking professional. What is the long table of content item in section 3? Why is it there? You will want to start each Section on a new page. Why is bullet 1 and 4 highlighted in the standard naming conventions?
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV, HW & SW Specifications below in the PRESENTATION section
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are excellent
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is very good. Have you gotten the DB connected?
* Project plan is good
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Remove the word Datastore form the datastores or update the table names on your ERD
    - You have some verb noun phrases for dataflows and they need to be noun verb phrases
  + Level 1 DFD Process 1.0
    - Looks fine
  + Level 1 DFD Process 2.0
    - According to the level 0 DFD, Process 2.0 does not send data back to the Company Employee, yet you show it does—if this is the case, update level 0 DFD
    - DS2 does not return any data to Process 2.0, yet you show it does—you will need to show that on level 0 DFD
  + Level 1 DFD Process 3.0 is a duplicate of level 1 DFD Process 2.0
* ERD looks okay—Client table does not appear to be fully normalized
* IPO chart is good
* Updated nav diagram is much better—well done
* HW &SW Specifications are fine
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.94/4 = 73.5%. You can see I gave you a 94%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.